

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME	КСŁ
United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	•
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/715,659	11/17/2003	Joel V. Weinstock	27045/2032	5366
	29933	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
PALMER & DODGE, LLP			ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
	*	M. WILLIAMS IGTON AVENUE		ART ŲNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	BOSTON, M			1645	
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I &	TA: 19 4/ 5		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/715,659	WEINSTOCK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert A. Zeman	1645		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2003			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.			
<i>,</i> —				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau		-a		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	: a.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. I.L		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to methods of screening a helminthic parasite preparation,
 classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a method for treating an animal by administering a helminthic parasite preparation, classified in class 424, subclass 265.1.
- III. Claims 9-16, drawn to methods for monitoring the treatment efficacy of a helminthic preparation, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Election Requirement Applicable to Groups I and III

In addition, Groups I and III detailed above read on patentably distinct markers. Each marker is patentably distinct because they are drawn to molecules with differing biochemical and immunological properties and a further restriction is applied to Groups I and III. Applicant must further elect a single internal marker, cell surface marker, or secreted marker from claims 3, 6, 12, and 16.

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected marker and should not be construed as a species election.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are related as methods. The methods are distinct from one another because they have different goals as evidenced by the preamble (method of screening a

Art Unit: 1645

helminthic parasite preparation; method of treating disease; method of monitoring treatment efficacy) and have different final outcomes. Consequently, each method is distinct from the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as indicated by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/715,659 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Gangle whose telephone number is (571) 272-1181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Mark Navarro can be reached on (571) 272-0861. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian Gangle AU 1645

> ROBERT A. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER